WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNI	ITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	V .	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Francisco Paz-Rivas	Case Number: <u>11-05877M-001</u>
anu was repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § sented by counsel. I conclude by a preint pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 18, 2011. Defendant was present eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a prep	conderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
		e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximur	n of years imprisonment.
The Co at the time of th	ourt incorporates by reference the ma he hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court toted in the record.
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	
2.		ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
·		TIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicab efendant shall be afforded a reasonab tates or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ele, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ele opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEAI	LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS C deliver a copy o Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of the fitted that should an appeal of the fitted that should are sometimes that the fitted that is a specific to the fitted that should be should be should an appeal of the fitted that should be should an appeal of the fitted that should be	nis detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial re the District Court to allow <u>Pretrial Services an opportunity</u> to interview and
DATE: <u>April</u>	18, 2011	
		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge